



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,032	11/26/2003	Corey J. Lawson	132384IT/YOD GEMS:0229	8862
7:	590 10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Patrick S. Yoder		GETZOW, SCOTT M		
FLETCHER Y	ODER			
P.O. Box 692289			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77269-2289			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	I A No.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Occur	10/723,032	LAWSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott M. Getzow	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the period of the peri	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	uly 2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 7-10 and 24-39 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 11-22 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 23 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object to be seen that the drawing(s) is object to be seen tha	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/19/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/723,032 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the memory support (claim 18) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 18,19,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Powers et al (6360120).

Application/Control Number: 10/723,032 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

The memory support called for in the above claims could be construed as the adapter of the Powers device. The adapter of Powers could be construed as being 'on the cable' in that it is in physical contact with the cable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-4,6,11-17,21,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powers et al.

Re claim 1, one of ordinary skill in the art is deemed to consider the phrase 'an operator workstation' to be broad enough to encompass a variety of devices, including external defibrillators and training devices, as set forth in the abstract of Powers. Such devices allow for interaction with the user, such as entering data about the patient or answering questions posed by the AED regarding the patient's condition.

Re claim 11, one of ordinary skill would know that a variety of differently sized electrodes would have to be applied to the patient, depending on the patient's size. For example, an adult would need larger electrodes than a pediatric patient. Thus, a sensor adapter would have been obvious and useful to have with the device of Powers. (Such a teaching can be found in the patent to Morgan, previously cited, in col. 4, lines 44+). Further, to put the memory device in the sensor adapter instead of the station adapter is considered a mere obvious design choice.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,032 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powers et al in view of Lockery et al (6533723).

To have the ability to sense a variety of different patient conditions, as taught by Lockery, would have been obvious in that a more complete picture of the patient's health would be available, and thus better care given.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 7-10,24-39 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000

> Scott M. Getzow Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

SMG